

## REMARKS

Claims 1-19 were pending as of the Office Action mailed June 30, 2005. Claims 1, 12, and 17 were in independent form. Claims 1-11 were amended. Claims 12-19 were cancelled. Claims 20-22 were newly added.

In an interview with the examiner on December 10, 2009, this supplemental response was authorized. With respect to this supplemental response, claims 1-11, and 20-22 are pending. Claims 1, 21, and 22 are in independent form. To expedite prosecution, and in accordance with the examiner's recommendations, claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 21, and 22 are being amended. Additionally, no claims are being cancelled, and claims 23-47 are being newly added. No new matter has been added. Support for new claim 23 can be found within the applicant's specification at least at p. 6, line 13 through p. 8, line 18, and FIG 2.

New dependent claims 24-35 are system claims corresponding to dependent method claims 2-11 and 23.

New dependent claims 36-47 are method claims corresponding to dependent method claims 2-11 and 23.

Reconsideration and reexamination of the application is respectfully requested in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

### **Interview Summary**

The applicant thanks Examiner Roswell for the courtesy of the in-person interview on December 10, 2009. The time spent with the applicant's representatives Tim Wilson, John Biernacki, and Arriènne M. Lezak was greatly appreciated. During the interview, the Amendment filed November 20, 2009 was discussed. Recommendations were made by the examiner. These recommendations have been incorporated into the claims as noted above.

### **Conclusion**

The applicant respectfully requests that all pending claims be allowed.

By responding in the foregoing remarks only to particular positions taken by the examiner, the applicant does not acquiesce with other positions that have not been

explicitly addressed. In addition, the applicant selecting some particular arguments for the patentability of a claim should not be understood as implying that no other reasons for the patentability of that claim exist. Finally, the applicant's decision to amend or cancel any claim should not be understood as implying that the applicant agrees with any positions taken by the examiner with respect to that claim or other claims.

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 50-1432, reference No. 343355-600028.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 19, 2010

By: /Arriènne M. Lezak/

Arriènne M. Lezak  
Reg. No. 51,943  
JONES DAY  
1755 Embarcadero Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94303  
(650) 687-4163